

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
8

9 PETER J. MUNOZ, JR.,

10 Petitioner,

11 vs.

12 GREGORY SMITH, WARDEN, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

Case No. 3:11-cv-00197-LRH-RAM

ORDER

15 Petitioner has filed a second amended petition (ECF No. 89). The court has reviewed it
16 pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.
17 The court will direct respondents to file a response.

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from the
19 date of entry of this order to answer or otherwise respond to the second amended petition (ECF No.
20 89). If respondents file and serve an answer, then they shall comply with Rule 5 of the Rules
21 Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and then petitioner shall have
22 forty-five (45) days from the date on which the answer is served to file a reply. If respondents file a
23 motion, then the briefing schedule of Local Rule LR 7-2 shall apply.

24 DATED this 4th day of December, 2017.
25

26 
27 LARRY R. HICKS
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE